	Case 1:21-cv-00928-JLT-BAM Documer	nt 59 Filed 10/10/23 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	PETER MICHAEL ARENA,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00928-JLT-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST	
13	V.	FOR GLOBAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
14	CERVANTES, et al.,	(ECF No. 55)	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff Peter Michael Arena ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in		
18	forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds		
19	against Defendants Cervantes, Berlanga, Cerda-Jirano, Moreno, and Ceja for excessive force in		
20	violation of the Eighth Amendment.		
21	On September 7, 2023, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting a settlement conference in this		
22	action and in another pending action, <i>Arena v. Navarro</i> , Case No. 1:20-cv-00617-BAM. (ECF		
23	No. 55.) The Court directed Defendants in both actions to respond to Plaintiff's request,		
24	indicating whether Defendants are also willing to participate in a judicially-mediated settlement		
25	conference in either or both of the pending cases. (ECF No. 56.) Defendants filed a response on		
26	October 2, 2023, stating that informal settlement discussions with Plaintiff and counsel in <i>Arena</i>		
27	v. Navarro remain ongoing, appear to be making progress, and counsel is scheduled to speak with		
28	Plaintiff this week by telephone conference to continue informal settlement discussions. (ECF		
		1	

	Case 1:21-cv-00928-JLT-BAM Document 59 Filed 10/10/23 Page 2 of 2		
1	No. 57.)		
2	Without a clear indication from all parties to the action that they are willing to discuss		
3	settlement, the Court does not find that it would be an efficient use of judicial resources to set this		
4	case for a settlement conference at this time. The parties are reminded that they are free to settle		
5	this matter without judicial involvement at any time by communicating among themselves. If in		
6	the future the parties jointly decide that this action would benefit from a Court-facilitated		
7	settlement conference, or if they are able to reach an independent settlement agreement, they may		
8	so inform the Court.		
9	Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for a settlement conference, (ECF No. 55), is HEREBY		
10	DENIED without prejudice.		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: October 10, 2023 /s/ Bashara A. McAuliffe		
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
2223			
23 24			
24 25			
25 26			
20 27			
28			
20			